In re: Goodall et al. Serial No.: 10/716,693 Filed: November 19, 2003

Page 13 of 13

## **REMARKS**

In the Action, the Examiner required an election from the following invention groups:

Group I. Claims 1-5; and

Group II. Claims 6-39.

Applicants hereby elect, without traverse, the following named claims:

Group II. Claims 6-39.

Claims 1-5 have been canceled as directed to a non-elected invention group. Claims 6-33 have been amended and Claims 40-87 are newly added and depend directly or indirectly from Claim 6. Therefore, Claims 40-87 are properly grouped in Group II.

Applicants respectfully submit that this application is now in condition for substantive examination, which action is requested. Should the Examiner have any matters outstanding of resolution, he is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at 919-854-1400 for expeditious handling.

Respectfully submitted,

Laura M. Kelley

Registration No. 48,441 Attorney for Applicants

USPTO Customer No. 20792

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.

Post Office Box 37428

Raleigh, North Carolina 27627 Telephone: (919) 854-1400 Facsimile: (919) 854-1401

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** 

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on April 29, 2004.

Carey Grogory

Date of Signature: April 29, 2004